

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)
3 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
4 David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)
5 davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com
6 Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)
7 melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com
8 John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)
9 johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com
10 Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)
11 jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com
12 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
13 San Francisco, California 94111-4788
14 Telephone: (415) 875-6600
15 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

16 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

17 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

18 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

19 WAYMO LLC,

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

20 Plaintiff,

21 **DECLARATION OF DAVID A. PERLSON
IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION
OF, AND TO STAY, TRADE SECRET
AND UCL CLAIMS [9 U.S.C. §§ 3, 4]**

22 vs.

23 UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
24 OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
25 LLC,

26 Defendants.

27

28

1 I, David A. Perlson, hereby declares as follows.

2 1. I am a member of the bar of the State of California and partner with Quinn
3 Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I make this
4 declaration of personal, firsthand knowledge, and if called and sworn as a witness, I could and
5 would testify competently to the matters set forth herein. I make this declaration in support of
6 Waymo’s Opposition to Defendants’ Motion To Compel Arbitration Of, And To Stay, Trade
7 Secret And UCL Claims [9 U.S.C. §§ 3, 4].

8 2. On March 15, 2017, during a meet and confer with counsel from Defendants,
9 Defendants informed Waymo of their intention to file a motion to compel arbitration for the first
10 time.

11 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an email thread accepting
12 service of Defendants’ March 31, 2017 Arbitration Demand to Waymo.

13 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the JAMS Demand For
14 Arbitration Form that was served by Defendants and submitted as part of Defendants’ March 31,
15 2017 Arbitration Demand To Waymo.

16 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Defendants’ Arbitration
17 Demand Complaint against Waymo that was served by Defendants and submitted as part of
18 Defendants March 31 Arbitration Demand to Waymo.

19 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of Anthony Levandowski’s
20 2012 At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment And Arbitration
21 Agreement which Defendants attached as Exhibit 1 to Defendants’ Arbitration Demand Complaint
22 that was served by Defendants.

23 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Anthony Levandowski’s
24 2009 At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment And Arbitration
25 Agreement which Defendants attached as Exhibit 2 to Defendants’ Arbitration Demand Complaint
26 that was served by Defendants.

27

28

8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of an email exchange related to the filing of Defendants' March 31 Arbitration Demand and Defendants' request to schedule an arbitration hearing after the conclusion of these proceedings on the merits.

9. Neither Mr. Levandowski nor Google, Inc., the parties to the 2012 and 2009 At-Will Employment, Confidential Information, Invention Assignment And Arbitration Agreements, are named as parties in Defendants' Arbitration Demand.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: April 10, 2017

/s/ David A. Perlson
David A. Perlson

SIGNATURE ATTESTATION

Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from David A. Perlson.

/s/ Charles K. Verhoeven
Charles K. Verhoeven